

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450

DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/009,112	12/07/2001	Sciji Sato	9793822-0157	6231
7	590 11/26/2003		EXAM	INER
David R Meta	zger		CHOWDHURY, TA	ARIFUR RASHID
Sonnenschein Wacker Drive	Nath & Rosenthal Station		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
PO Box 061080		2871		
Chicago, IL (50606-1080			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			1)				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	Office Andrew Occurrence	10/009,112	SATO ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Tarifur R Chowdhury	2871				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	rrespondence address				
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL. MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION, risions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 period for reply specified above is less than thin; (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above is less than thin; (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above is less than thin; (30) days, a repl period for reply is specified above. The maximum statutory period re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will. by statute period period for reply the Office later than three months after the mailing dipatent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be fir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABADONE	nety filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U S.C. & 133).				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 22 S	eptember 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allower closed in accordance with the practice under ${\it E}$						
Disposit	ion of Claims						
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.	•					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10,11 and 20</u> is/are rejected.							
7)🖾	7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>12-19</u> is/are objected to.						
8)	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers						
9)[The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10)🖂	The drawing(s) filed on 22 September 2003 is/a	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).				
* S 13)□ A s 3	1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list koknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti notes a specific reference was included in the fire 7 OFR 1.78.	s have been received in Applicativity documents have been receivative (a [P. 7.2(a)]. of the certified copies not receive c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(st sentence of the specification of	ed in this National Stage ed. e) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet.				
) The translation of the foreign language pro						
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting eference was included in the first sentence of the control of of control of c						
Attachmen	t(s)						
1) Notice Notice Notice	ve of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	 Notice of Informal F 	(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2871

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 20 is objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 20, line 5, "polarization a first of the two" should be changed to – polarization of a first of the two –.

In claim 20, line 10, "polarization a second of the two polarizing filters" should be changed to –polarization of a second of the two--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Art Unit: 2871

 Claims 10, 11 and 20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ma et al., (Ma), USPAT 6,344.887.

- 5. Ma discloses and shows in Fig. 6A, a liquid crystal display device comprising:
- a cholesteric polarizer (260) (applicant's first image separating means) for separating the light illuminated from a light source (630) depending on a direction of polarization;
- light modulating means (210) having liquid crystals sealed within a pair of transparent electrode plates, the light modulation means modulating the intensity of light transmitted through the first image separating means;
- another cholesteric polarizer (250) (applicant's second image separating means) for separating the light transmitted through the light modulating means depending on the direction of polarization;

As to clamping one of the image separating means and the modulating means by a pair of substrates is common and known in the art and thus would have been obvious to avail a proven technique.

Accordingly, claims 10 and 11 would have been obvious.

As to claim 20, using the liquid crystal display in a stereoscopic display is considered as intended use and thus would have been obvious.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-9 are allowed.

Art Unit: 2871

. . .

Claims 12-19 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under
 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Amendment

8. The declaration filed on 09/22/03 under 37 CFR 1.131 has been considered but is ineffective to overcome the USPAT 6,344,887 reference because the declaration is not signed by all of the inventors.

Accordingly, the rejection of claims 10, 11 and 20 based on USPAT 6,344,887 has not been withdrawn and thus maintained.

Conclusion

 THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Art Unit: 2871

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tarifur R Chowdhury whose telephone number is (703) 308-4115. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (6:30-5:00) Friday Off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert Kim can be reached on (703) 305-3492. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 746-7005 for regular communications and (703) 308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1782.

T. Chowdhury //
Primary Examiner
Technology Center 2800

TRC November 20, 2003